

FUNCTIONALITY EVALUATION CRITERIA		POINTS
CVs and qualifications of submitted professionals Comprehensive CV and qualifications of required professionals with up-to-date registration with the applicable professional body.		40
Note: Failure to provide the relevant professional registrations for <u>ALL</u> key personnel will result in the bid being non-responsive (sections 4 & 6).		
Lead Consultant (Professional Mechanical Engineer)		(20)
Sub-Criteria	Points	
CV experience of less than 5 years	0	
CV experience of more than 5 years	5	
CV experience of more than 10 years	10	
CV experience of more than 15 years	15	
CV experience of more than 20 years	20	
Professional Electrical Engineer		(10)
Sub-Criteria	Points	
CV experience of less than 5 years	0	
CV experience of more than 5 years	5	
CV experience of more than 10 years	10	
CV experience of more than 15 years	15	
CV experience of more than 20 years	20	
Professional Quantity Surveyor		(5)
Sub-Criteria	Points	
CV experience of less than 5 years	2	
CV experience of more than 5 years	4	
CV experience of more than 10 years	16	
CV experience of more than 15 years	18	
CV experience of more than 20 years	10	
Professional Occupational Health & Safety Agent		(5)
Sub-Criteria	Points	
CV experience of less than 5 years	2	
CV experience of more than 5 years	4	
CV experience of more than 10 years	6	
CV experience of more than 15 years	8	
CV experience of more than 20 years	10	

FUNCTIONALITY EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS												
Implementation methodology Proposed methodology and approach addressing all the services identified in the scope of work. Methodologies and approach must be specific to the project and location of works. <table> <tr> <th>Sub-Criteria</th><th>Points</th></tr> <tr> <td>No methodology</td><td>0</td></tr> <tr> <td>Poor methodology</td><td>2</td></tr> <tr> <td>Average methodology</td><td>4</td></tr> <tr> <td>Above average methodology</td><td>8</td></tr> <tr> <td>Good methodology</td><td>10</td></tr> </table>	Sub-Criteria	Points	No methodology	0	Poor methodology	2	Average methodology	4	Above average methodology	8	Good methodology	10	10
Sub-Criteria	Points												
No methodology	0												
Poor methodology	2												
Average methodology	4												
Above average methodology	8												
Good methodology	10												
Proposed work plan Proposed work plan with relative timelines towards activities and deliverables, including a clear allocation of resources towards the services required.	10												

FUNCTIONALITY EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS																																		
Track record The Service Provider is expected to have more than 10 years' experience in managing similar types of projects where design, installation and maintenance of infrastructure were successfully undertaken and completed within parameters such as scope, cost, time and at acceptable quality standard. - List of at least ten other similar projects with appointment letters or purchase orders 's, completion certificates and telephonic references indicating work of similar value completed in the last ten (10) years. (2 points per similar) project). <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sub-Criteria</th><th>Points</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>One similar project</td><td>2</td></tr> <tr><td>Two similar projects</td><td>4</td></tr> <tr><td>Three similar projects</td><td>6</td></tr> <tr><td>Four similar projects</td><td>8</td></tr> <tr><td>Five similar projects</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr><td>Six similar projects</td><td>12</td></tr> <tr><td>Seven similar projects</td><td>14</td></tr> <tr><td>Eight similar projects</td><td>16</td></tr> <tr><td>Nine similar projects</td><td>18</td></tr> <tr><td>Ten similar projects</td><td>20</td></tr> </tbody> </table> - Provide five contactable reference letters regarding similar assignments with appointment letters or purchase orders, completion certificates and telephonic references indicating work of similar value completed in the last ten (10) years. <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sub-Criteria</th><th>Points</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>One relevant reference letter</td><td>4</td></tr> <tr><td>Two relevant reference letters</td><td>8</td></tr> <tr><td>Three relevant reference letters</td><td>12</td></tr> <tr><td>Four relevant reference letters</td><td>16</td></tr> <tr><td>Five relevant reference letters</td><td>20</td></tr> </tbody> </table> Notes: Supporting documents required to support the claims above, (Purchase orders/appointment letters, completion certificates and reference letters for projects must be submitted as proof). Bidders must submit all the requested documents as proof in order to be awarded the points. Appointment letters and any reference letters must be on the employer's letterhead, dated and signed by the employer.	Sub-Criteria	Points	One similar project	2	Two similar projects	4	Three similar projects	6	Four similar projects	8	Five similar projects	10	Six similar projects	12	Seven similar projects	14	Eight similar projects	16	Nine similar projects	18	Ten similar projects	20	Sub-Criteria	Points	One relevant reference letter	4	Two relevant reference letters	8	Three relevant reference letters	12	Four relevant reference letters	16	Five relevant reference letters	20	40 (20) (20)
Sub-Criteria	Points																																		
One similar project	2																																		
Two similar projects	4																																		
Three similar projects	6																																		
Four similar projects	8																																		
Five similar projects	10																																		
Six similar projects	12																																		
Seven similar projects	14																																		
Eight similar projects	16																																		
Nine similar projects	18																																		
Ten similar projects	20																																		
Sub-Criteria	Points																																		
One relevant reference letter	4																																		
Two relevant reference letters	8																																		
Three relevant reference letters	12																																		
Four relevant reference letters	16																																		
Five relevant reference letters	20																																		
Maximum possible score for functionality	100																																		